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The set of $\Sigma$-terms over a set $X$ is defined inductively:
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The interpretation of operations in an algebra $A$ lifts to terms of $T_{\Sigma} A$ :
$\forall a \in A, \llbracket a \rrbracket=a \quad \forall t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in T_{\Sigma} A, \mathrm{op}: n \in \Sigma, \llbracket \mathrm{op}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \rrbracket=\llbracket \mathrm{op} \rrbracket\left(\llbracket t_{1} \rrbracket, \ldots, \llbracket t_{n} \rrbracket\right)$.

In algebra, we often prove things like: "Suppose $g=g^{n}$, then ..." Thus, we ask:
Question
Let $s, t \in T_{\Sigma} A$, if we know $\llbracket s \rrbracket=\llbracket t \rrbracket$, what else can we derive?
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\begin{gathered}
\frac{X \vdash t=t}{X} \operatorname{Refl} \quad \frac{X \vdash s=t}{X \vdash t=s} \text { Sym } \frac{X \vdash s=t \quad X \vdash t=u}{X \vdash s=u} \text { Trans } \\
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## Birkhoff's Equational Logic

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{X \vdash t=t}{X} \text { Refl } \quad \frac{X \vdash s=t}{X \vdash t=s} \text { Sym } & \frac{X \vdash s=t \quad X \vdash t=u}{X \vdash s=u} \text { Trans } \\
\frac{\text { op }: n \in \Sigma \quad \forall i \in[n], X \vdash s_{i}=t_{i}}{X \vdash \operatorname{op}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=\operatorname{op}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)} \text { Cong } & \frac{\sigma: X \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}(Y) \quad X \vdash s=t}{Y \vdash \sigma^{*}(s)=\sigma^{*}(t)} \text { Sub }
\end{array}
$$

Theorem
Equational logic is sound and complete.
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There is a correspondence between algebraic theories (a signature $\Sigma$ and axioms E closed under equational logic) and finitary monads $T_{\Sigma, E}:$ Set $\rightarrow$ Set such that $\Sigma$-algebras satisfying E correspond to $T_{\Sigma, E}$-algebras.

## Examples

- A semilattice is an algebra for the non-empty finite powerset monad $\mathcal{P}$ : Set $\rightarrow$ Set.
- A convex algebra is an algebra for the finitely supported distribution monad $\mathcal{D}:$ Set $\rightarrow$ Set.
- A pointed set is an algebra for the maybe monad $-+\mathbf{1}:$ Set $\rightarrow$ Set.
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## Example

Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}=\left\{+_{p}: 2\right\}_{p \in(0,1)}$ and $(A, d)$ be a metric space. We denote by $(\mathcal{D} A, \widehat{d})$ the space of finite probability distributions on $A$ with the Kantorovich metric. The interpretations

$$
\llbracket+_{p} \rrbracket: \mathcal{D} A \times \mathcal{D} A \rightarrow \mathcal{D} A=(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto p \varphi+(1-p) \psi
$$

yield a quantitative $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$-algbera.
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The information on distance is now also relevant on variables, e.g.:
Question
If we know $d(\llbracket s \rrbracket, \llbracket t \rrbracket) \leq \varepsilon$ but only if the variables $x$ and $y$ are at distance $\delta$, what else can we derive?
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## Quantitative Equational Logic

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s==_{\infty} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x={ }_{\varepsilon} y} \text { Vars } \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon^{\prime}}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}} t} \operatorname{Max} \\
& \frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon_{i}} t \quad \varepsilon=\inf _{i} \varepsilon_{i}}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} t} \text { OCo } \\
& \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s=t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} u}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t={ }_{\varepsilon} u} C_{\ell} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s=t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash u={ }_{\varepsilon} s}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u={ }_{\varepsilon} t} C_{r} \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\sigma: X \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} Y & \mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{(\varepsilon)} t & \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x)={ }_{d_{\mathbf{X}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s)={ }_{(\varepsilon)} \sigma^{*}(t) \\
\text { Sub }
\end{array} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left.\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t={ }_{\varepsilon} s} \quad \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t={ }_{0} t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{0} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s=t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash t={ }_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} u}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{\prime}} u}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem
Quantitative equational logic is sound and complete.

## Hausdorff Lifting

The Hausdorff lifting of the powerset monad $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is defined by
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The unit and multiplication are the same as those of $\mathcal{P}$.
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The Hausdorff lifting of the powerset monad $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is defined by

$$
(X, d) \mapsto\left(\mathcal{P} X, d_{\mathrm{H}}\right) \text { where } d_{\mathrm{H}}(S, T)=\max \left\{\max _{x \in S} \min _{y \in T} d(x, y), \max _{y \in T} \min _{x \in S} d(x, y)\right\}
$$

The unit and multiplication are the same as those of $\mathcal{P}$. Algebras for $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ correspond to quantitative $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$-algebras satisfying:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \vdash x \oplus x=x \\
x, y \vdash x \oplus y=y \oplus x \\
x, y, z \vdash x \oplus(y \oplus z)=(x \oplus y) \oplus z \\
x={ }_{\varepsilon} x^{\prime}, y==_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} y^{\prime} \vdash x \oplus y={\max \left\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\}} x^{\prime} \oplus y^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

(idempotent)
(commutative)
(associative)
(Hausdorff)
In all these algebras, $\oplus$ is a nonexpansive operation $(X, d)^{2} \rightarrow(X, d)$.

## Not Hausdorff Lifting

After removing that last quantitative equation, we get algebras for the monad $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ defined by:

$$
(X, d) \mapsto(\mathcal{P} X, \widehat{d}) \text { where } \widehat{d}(S, T)= \begin{cases}0 & S=T \\ d(x, y) & S=\{x\} \text { and } T=\{y\} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## ŁK Lifting

The Łukaszyk-Karmowski lifting of the distribution monad $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\text {モK }}$ is defined by:

$$
(X, d) \mapsto\left(\mathcal{D} X, d_{\mathrm{EK}}\right) \text { where } d_{\mathrm{EK}}(\varphi, \psi)=\sum_{x, x^{\prime} \in X} \varphi(x) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

The unit and multiplication are the same as those of $\mathcal{D}$.

## ŁK Lifting

The Łukaszyk-Karmowski lifting of the distribution monad $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\text {モK }}$ is defined by:

$$
(X, d) \mapsto\left(\mathcal{D} X, d_{\mathrm{EK}}\right) \text { where } d_{\mathrm{EK}}(\varphi, \psi)=\sum_{x, x^{\prime} \in X} \varphi(x) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The unit and multiplication are the same as those of $\mathcal{D}$. Algebras for $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\text {EK }}$ correspond to quantitative $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$-algebras satsifying:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
x \vdash x+{ }_{p} x=x & \\
x, y \vdash x+_{p} y=y+{ }_{1-p} x & \text { (idempotent) } \\
x, y, z \vdash\left(x+_{q} y\right)+_{p} z=x+_{p q}\left(y+_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-p q}} z\right) & \\
x={ }_{\varepsilon_{1}} y, x={ }_{\varepsilon_{2}} z \vdash x={ }_{p \varepsilon_{1}+(1-p) \varepsilon_{2}} y+_{p} z & \tag{ŁK}
\end{array}
$$
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Given an algebraic theory $(\Sigma, E)$, the free algebra monad $T_{\Sigma, E}$ is given by

$$
X \mapsto T_{\Sigma} X / \equiv_{E} \text {, where } \equiv_{E}=\{(s, t) \mid X \vdash s=t \in E\} .
$$

A $\Sigma$-algebra satisfying $E$ is the same thing as a $T_{\Sigma, E}$-algebra.

## Definition

A monad $M$ on Set is presented by $(\Sigma, E)$ if there is a monad isomorphism $\rho: T_{\Sigma, E} \cong M$.

## Met Presentations

Given a quantitative algebraic theory $(\Sigma, \widehat{E})$, the free quantitative algebra monad $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{X} \mapsto\left(T_{\Sigma} X / \equiv_{\widehat{E}}, d_{\widehat{E}}\right) \text {, where } \quad \begin{gathered}
\overline{\bar{E}}_{\widehat{E}}=\{(s, t) \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s=t\} \\
d_{\widehat{E}}([s],[t])=\inf \left\{\varepsilon \in[0, \infty] \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s={ }_{\varepsilon} t\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

A quantitative $\Sigma$-algebra satisfying $\widehat{E}$ is the same thing as a $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}^{-}}$-algebra.

## Met Presentations

Given a quantitative algebraic theory $(\Sigma, \widehat{E})$, the free quantitative algebra monad $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \hat{E}}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{X} \mapsto\left(T_{\Sigma} X / \bar{E}_{\widehat{E}}, d_{\widehat{E}}\right) \text {, where } \begin{gathered}
\overline{E_{\widehat{E}}}=\{(s, t) \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s=t\} \\
d_{\widehat{E}}([s],[t])=\inf \left\{\varepsilon \in[0, \infty] \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s==_{\varepsilon} t\right\}
\end{gathered} \text {. }
$$

A quantitative $\Sigma$-algebra satisfying $\widehat{E}$ is the same thing as a $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}^{-}}$-algebra.

## Definition

A monad $\widehat{M}$ on Met is presented by $(\Sigma, \widehat{E})$ if there is a monad isomorphism $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}} \cong \widehat{M}$.

## Lifting Presentations

Let $(M, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on Set, and $(\Sigma, E)$ be an algebraic presentation for it via $\rho: T_{\Sigma, E} \cong M$.

## Definitions

A monad lifting of $M$ to Met is a monad $\widehat{M}:$ Met $\rightarrow$ Met whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of $M$ after applying $U$ : Met $\rightarrow$ Set.

## Lifting Presentations

Let $(M, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on Set, and $(\Sigma, E)$ be an algebraic presentation for it via $\rho: T_{\Sigma, E} \cong M$.

## Definitions

A monad lifting of $M$ to Met is a monad $\widehat{M}:$ Met $\rightarrow$ Met whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of $M$ after applying $U$ : Met $\rightarrow$ Set.
A quantitative extension of $E$ is a quantitative algebraic theory $\widehat{E}$ on the same signature $\Sigma$ satisfying for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{M e t}$ and $s, t \in T_{\Sigma} X$,

$$
X \vdash s=t \in E \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{X} \vdash s=t \in \widehat{E}
$$

## Lifting Presentations

Let $(M, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on Set, and $(\Sigma, E)$ be an algebraic presentation for it via $\rho: T_{\Sigma, E} \cong M$.

## Definitions

A monad lifting of $M$ to Met is a monad $\widehat{M}:$ Met $\rightarrow$ Met whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of $M$ after applying $U$ : Met $\rightarrow$ Set.
A quantitative extension of $E$ is a quantitative algebraic theory $\widehat{E}$ on the same signature $\Sigma$ satisfying for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{M e t}$ and $s, t \in T_{\Sigma} X$,

$$
X \vdash s=t \in E \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{X} \vdash s=t \in \widehat{E} .
$$

## Theorem

There is a correspondence between monad liftings of $M$ and quantitative extensions of $E$.
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- It follows from the syntactic definitions that the units and multiplications also coincide, hence $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}}$ is a monad lifting of $T_{\Sigma, E}$.
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really says that $\equiv_{E}=\overline{=}_{\widehat{E}}$, so the functors $T_{\Sigma, E}$ and $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}}$ agree on sets.

- It follows from the syntactic definitions that the units and multiplications also coincide, hence $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma, \widehat{E}}$ is a monad lifting of $T_{\Sigma, E}$.
- Via the isomorphism $\rho: T_{\Sigma, E} \cong M$, we can construct the monad lifting by

$$
\widehat{M}(X, d)=(M X, \widehat{d}), \text { where } \widehat{d}\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)=d_{\widehat{E}}\left(\rho^{-1} m, \rho^{-1} m^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Lifting to Extension

- Put some equations in $\widehat{E}$ :

For all $X \vdash s=t \in E$, add $\mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s=t$ to $\widehat{E}$.

## Lifting to Extension

- Put some equations in $\widehat{E}$ :

$$
\text { For all } X \vdash s=t \in E \text {, add } \mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s=t \text { to } \widehat{E} \text {. }
$$
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## Lifting to Extension

- Put some equations in $\widehat{E}$ :

$$
\text { For all } X \vdash s=t \in E \text {, add } \mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s=t \text { to } \widehat{E} \text {. }
$$

- Put some quantitative equations in $\widehat{E}$ :

$$
\text { For all }(X, d) \in \text { Met and } s, t \in T_{\Sigma} X, \text { add }(X, d) \vdash s=_{\widehat{d}(\rho[s], \rho[t])} t \text { to } \widehat{E} .
$$

- Show that nothing else is entailed by exhibiting $\widehat{M}(\mathbf{X})$ as the free $\Sigma$-algebra satisfying $\widehat{E}$ generated by $\mathbf{X}$.


## Outline

Universal Algebra<br>Universal Quantitative Algebra<br>Monad Presentations

Conclusion

## To Be Done

- Make the result more categorical in flavor.
- What about infinitary theories?
- What about composing monads?
- Further simplify the entry point to quantitative algebraic reasoning.

Merci !

