Ralph Sarkis

ENS de Lyon

October 26th, 2023



### Outline

#### Universal Algebra

Universal Quantitative Algebra

Monad Presentations

Conclusion

A **signature**  $\Sigma$  is a set of operation symbols, each with an arity, we write op :  $n \in \Sigma$  for an operation of arity  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ .

### Definitions

A **signature**  $\Sigma$  is a set of operation symbols, each with an arity, we write op :  $n \in \Sigma$  for an operation of arity  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ . A  $\Sigma$ -algebra is a set A equipped with an interpretation  $[op] : A^n \to A$  for every op :  $n \in \Sigma$ .

A **signature**  $\Sigma$  is a set of operation symbols, each with an arity, we write op :  $n \in \Sigma$  for an operation of arity  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ . A  $\Sigma$ -algebra is a set A equipped with an interpretation  $[\![op]\!] : A^n \to A$  for every op :  $n \in \Sigma$ .

### Examples

A (join)-semilattice is a set *S* equipped with an associative, commutative and idempotent binary operation ⊕ : *S* × *S* → *S*. It is a Σ<sub>P</sub>-algebra where Σ<sub>P</sub> = {⊕ : 2} with some nice properties.

A **signature**  $\Sigma$  is a set of operation symbols, each with an arity, we write op :  $n \in \Sigma$  for an operation of arity  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ . A  $\Sigma$ -algebra is a set A equipped with an interpretation  $[\![op]\!] : A^n \to A$  for every op :  $n \in \Sigma$ .

### Examples

- A (join)-semilattice is a set *S* equipped with an associative, commutative and idempotent binary operation ⊕ : *S* × *S* → *S*. It is a Σ<sub>P</sub>-algebra where Σ<sub>P</sub> = {⊕ : 2} with some nice properties.
- A convex algebra is a set *C* equipped with binary operations +<sub>p</sub> : *C* × *C* → *C* for every *p* ∈ [0,1] that satisfy *skewed* associativity, commutativity, and idempotence. It is a Σ<sub>D</sub>-algebra where Σ<sub>D</sub> = {+<sub>p</sub> : 2 | *p* ∈ [0,1]} with some nice properties.

A **signature**  $\Sigma$  is a set of operation symbols, each with an arity, we write op :  $n \in \Sigma$  for an operation of arity  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ . A  $\Sigma$ -algebra is a set A equipped with an interpretation  $[op] : A^n \to A$  for every op :  $n \in \Sigma$ .

### Examples

- A (join)-semilattice is a set *S* equipped with an associative, commutative and idempotent binary operation ⊕ : *S* × *S* → *S*. It is a Σ<sub>P</sub>-algebra where Σ<sub>P</sub> = {⊕ : 2} with some nice properties.
- A **convex algebra** is a set *C* equipped with binary operations  $+_p : C \times C \rightarrow C$  for every  $p \in [0, 1]$  that satisfy *skewed* associativity, commutativity, and idempotence. It is a  $\Sigma_D$ -algebra where  $\Sigma_D = \{+_p : 2 \mid p \in [0, 1]\}$  with some nice properties.
- A **pointed set** is a set *X* equipped with a constant  $x \in X$  that we can identify with a function  $x : X^0 \to X$ . It is a  $\Sigma_{-+1}$ -algebra where  $\Sigma_{-+1} = \{ \star : 0 \}$ .

The set of  $\Sigma$ -terms over a set X is defined inductively:

$$\frac{x \in X}{x \in T_{\Sigma}X} \qquad \frac{t_1 \in T_{\Sigma}X \quad \cdots \quad t_n \in T_{\Sigma}X}{\mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}X}$$

The set of  $\Sigma$ -terms over a set *X* is defined inductively:

$$\frac{x \in X}{x \in T_{\Sigma}X} \qquad \frac{t_1 \in T_{\Sigma}X \quad \cdots \quad t_n \in T_{\Sigma}X}{\mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}X}$$

The interpretation of operations in an algebra *A* lifts to terms of  $T_{\Sigma}A$ :

 $\forall a \in A, \llbracket a \rrbracket = a \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in T_{\Sigma}A, \mathsf{op} : n \in \Sigma, \llbracket \mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathsf{op} \rrbracket(\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket).$ 

The set of  $\Sigma$ -terms over a set *X* is defined inductively:

$$\frac{x \in X}{x \in T_{\Sigma}X} \qquad \frac{t_1 \in T_{\Sigma}X \quad \cdots \quad t_n \in T_{\Sigma}X}{\mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}X}$$

The interpretation of operations in an algebra *A* lifts to terms of  $T_{\Sigma}A$ :

 $\forall a \in A, \llbracket a \rrbracket = a \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in T_{\Sigma}A, \mathsf{op} : n \in \Sigma, \llbracket \mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathsf{op} \rrbracket(\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket).$ 

In algebra, we often prove things like: "Suppose  $g = g^n$ , then ..."

The set of  $\Sigma$ -terms over a set *X* is defined inductively:

$$\frac{x \in X}{x \in T_{\Sigma}X} \qquad \frac{t_1 \in T_{\Sigma}X \quad \cdots \quad t_n \in T_{\Sigma}X}{\mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}X}$$

The interpretation of operations in an algebra *A* lifts to terms of  $T_{\Sigma}A$ :

 $\forall a \in A, \llbracket a \rrbracket = a \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in T_{\Sigma}A, \mathsf{op} : n \in \Sigma, \llbracket \mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathsf{op} \rrbracket(\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket).$ 

In algebra, we often prove things like: "Suppose  $g = g^n$ , then ..." Thus, we ask: Question

Let  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}A$ , if we know [s] = [t], what else can we derive?

### Definition

An **equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a set X of variables, and a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ . We write  $X \vdash s = t$ .

### Definition

An **equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a set X of variables, and a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ . We write  $X \vdash s = t$ . We say an algebra  $(A, \llbracket - \rrbracket)$  **satisfies**  $X \vdash s = t$  if for all assignments  $\iota : X \to A$ ,  $\llbracket \iota^*(s) \rrbracket = \llbracket \iota^*(t) \rrbracket$ .

### Definition

An **equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a set X of variables, and a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ . We write  $X \vdash s = t$ . We say an algebra  $(A, \llbracket - \rrbracket)$  **satisfies**  $X \vdash s = t$  if for all assignments  $\iota : X \to A$ ,  $\llbracket \iota^*(s) \rrbracket = \llbracket \iota^*(t) \rrbracket$ .

### Example

A semilattice is an algebra for  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}=\{\oplus:2\}$  satisfying the following equations:

$x \vdash x \oplus x = x$	(idempotent)
$x, y \vdash x \oplus y = y \oplus x$	(commutative)
$x, y, z \vdash x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$	(associative)

### Definition

An **equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a set X of variables, and a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ . We write  $X \vdash s = t$ . We say an algebra (A, [-]) **satisfies**  $X \vdash s = t$  if for all assignments  $\iota : X \to A$ ,  $[[\iota^*(s)]] = [[\iota^*(t)]]$ .

### Example

A semilattice is an algebra for  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}=\{\oplus:2\}$  satisfying the following equations:

$x \vdash x \oplus x = x$	(idempotent)
$x, y \vdash x \oplus y = y \oplus x$	(commutative)
$x, y, z \vdash x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$	(associative)

### Question

If E is a set of equations over  $\Sigma$ , and we know a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfies all of E, what other equations does  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfy?

$$\overline{X \vdash t = t}$$
 Refl

$$\underline{X \vdash t = t}$$
 Refl  $\underline{X \vdash s = t}$  Sym

$$\frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Refl} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Sym} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash s = u} \operatorname{Trans}$$

$$\frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Sym} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash s = u} \operatorname{Trans}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{op}: n \in \Sigma \qquad \forall i \in [n], X \vdash s_i = t_i}{X \vdash \mathsf{op}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \operatorname{Cong}$$

$$\frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Refl} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Sym} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash s = u} \operatorname{Trans}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{op}: n \in \Sigma \quad \forall i \in [n], X \vdash s_i = t_i \\ X \vdash \mathsf{op}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \mathsf{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{array} \mathsf{Cong} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\sigma: X \to T_{\Sigma}(Y) \qquad X \vdash s = t}{Y \vdash \sigma^*(s) = \sigma^*(t)} \operatorname{Sub}$$

$$\frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Refl} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash t = s} \operatorname{Sym} \qquad \frac{X \vdash s = t}{X \vdash s = u} \operatorname{Trans}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{op} : n \in \Sigma}{X \vdash \operatorname{op}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \operatorname{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \operatorname{Cong} \qquad \frac{\sigma : X \to T_{\Sigma}(Y) \quad X \vdash s = t}{Y \vdash \sigma^*(s) = \sigma^*(t)} \operatorname{Sub}$$

#### Theorem

Equational logic is **sound** and **complete**. Namely, if *E* is a set of equations and  $\overline{E}$  is its closure under equational logic, then:

$$A \text{ satisfies } E \implies A \text{ satisfies } \overline{E}$$
$$(\forall A, A \text{ satisfies } E \implies A \text{ satisfies } X \vdash s = t) \implies X \vdash s = t \in \overline{E}.$$

### Free Algebras

Given an **algebraic theory** ( $\Sigma$ , E), the free ( $\Sigma$ , E)-algebra over a set X is given by

 $T_{\Sigma}X/\equiv_E,$ 

where  $\equiv_E$  is the smallest congruence generated by *E*, it can be described concretely with equational logic:

$$\equiv_E = \{(s,t) \mid X \vdash s = t \in \overline{E}\}.$$

### Examples

- ► The free semilattice (i.e. idempotent, commutative and associative  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ -algebra) on a set *X* is  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  where  $\oplus$  is interpreted as the union.
- ► The free convex algebra on *X* is the set DX of finitely supported distributions on *X* where  $\varphi +_p \psi = p\varphi + (1 p)\psi$ .

#### Universal Quantitative Algebra

Monad Presentations

Conclusion

We switch from the category **Set** to **Met** (or a similar notion of metric spaces).

We switch from the category **Set** to **Met** (or a similar notion of metric spaces).

### Definition

A **quantitative**  $\Sigma$ **-algebra** is a metric space (A, d) and a  $\Sigma$ -algebra on the same carrier, i.e. interpretations  $[op] : A^n \to A$  for every op  $: n \in \Sigma$ .

We switch from the category **Set** to **Met** (or a similar notion of metric spaces).

### Definition

A **quantitative**  $\Sigma$ **-algebra** is a metric space (A, d) and a  $\Sigma$ -algebra on the same carrier, i.e. interpretations  $[op] : A^n \to A$  for every op  $: n \in \Sigma$ .

### Example

Let  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} = \{+_p : 2\}_{p \in (0,1)}$  and (A, d) be a metric space. We denote by  $(\mathcal{D}A, \hat{d})$  the space of finite probability distributions on A with the Kantorovich metric.

We switch from the category **Set** to **Met** (or a similar notion of metric spaces).

### Definition

A **quantitative**  $\Sigma$ **-algebra** is a metric space (A, d) and a  $\Sigma$ -algebra on the same carrier, i.e. interpretations  $[op] : A^n \to A$  for every op  $: n \in \Sigma$ .

### Example

Let  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}} = \{+_p : 2\}_{p \in (0,1)}$  and (A, d) be a metric space. We denote by  $(\mathcal{D}A, \hat{d})$  the space of finite probability distributions on A with the Kantorovich metric. The interpretations

$$\llbracket +_p \rrbracket : \mathcal{D}A \times \mathcal{D}A \to \mathcal{D}A = (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto p\varphi + (1-p)\psi$$

yield a quantitative  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ -algbera.

We can now work with more information on terms: equality and distance. Thus we ask:

#### Question

*Let* (A, d) *be a metric space and*  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}A$ *. If we know*  $d(\llbracket s \rrbracket, \llbracket t \rrbracket) \leq \varepsilon$ *, what else can we derive?* 

We can now work with more information on terms: equality and distance. Thus we ask:

#### Question

*Let* (A, d) *be a metric space and*  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}A$ *. If we know*  $d(\llbracket s \rrbracket, \llbracket t \rrbracket) \leq \varepsilon$ *, what else can we derive?* 

The information on distance is now also relevant on variables, e.g.:

#### Question

*If we know*  $d([s], [t]) \le \varepsilon$  *but only if the variables x and y are at distance*  $\delta$ *, what else can we derive?* 

We introduce a binary predicate  $=_{\varepsilon}$  which we interpret as the two inputs having distance *at most*  $\varepsilon$ , and the context (variables) is now a metric space.

We introduce a binary predicate  $=_{\varepsilon}$  which we interpret as the two inputs having distance *at most*  $\varepsilon$ , and the context (variables) is now a metric space.

#### Definition

A **quantitative equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a metric space **X** of variables, a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , and a bound  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty]$ . We write  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$ .

We introduce a binary predicate  $=_{\varepsilon}$  which we interpret as the two inputs having distance *at most*  $\varepsilon$ , and the context (variables) is now a metric space.

#### Definition

A **quantitative equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a metric space **X** of variables, a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , and a bound  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty]$ . We write  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$ . We say a quantitative algebra (A, d, [-]) **satisfies**  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$  if for all nonexpansive assignments  $\iota : \mathbf{X} \to (A, d), d([\iota^*(s)], [\iota^*(t)]) \leq \varepsilon$ .

We introduce a binary predicate  $=_{\varepsilon}$  which we interpret as the two inputs having distance *at most*  $\varepsilon$ , and the context (variables) is now a metric space.

#### Definition

A **quantitative equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a metric space **X** of variables, a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , and a bound  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty]$ . We write  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$ . We say a quantitative algebra (A, d, [-]) **satisfies**  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$  if for all nonexpansive assignments  $\iota : \mathbf{X} \to (A, d), d([\iota^*(s)], [\iota^*(t)]) \leq \varepsilon$ .

### Example

The convex algebra on  $(\mathcal{D}A, \hat{d})$  satisfies:

$$x =_{\varepsilon} x', y =_{\delta} y' \vdash x +_p y =_{p\varepsilon + (1-p)\delta} x' +_p y'.$$

We introduce a binary predicate  $=_{\varepsilon}$  which we interpret as the two inputs having distance *at most*  $\varepsilon$ , and the context (variables) is now a metric space.

#### Definition

A **quantitative equation** over a signature  $\Sigma$  is a triple comprising a metric space **X** of variables, a pair of terms  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , and a bound  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty]$ . We write  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$ . We say a quantitative algebra (A, d, [-]) **satisfies**  $\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t$  if for all nonexpansive assignments  $\iota : \mathbf{X} \to (A, d), d([\iota^*(s)], [\iota^*(t)]) \leq \varepsilon$ .

### Example

The convex algebra on  $(\mathcal{D}A, \hat{d})$  satisfies:

$$x =_{\varepsilon} x', y =_{\delta} y' \vdash x +_p y =_{p\varepsilon + (1-p)\delta} x' +_p y'.$$

#### Question

If *E* is a set of (quantitative) equations over  $\Sigma$ , and we know a quantitative  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfies all of *E*, what other (quantitative) equations does  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfy?

### Quantitative Equational Logic

To the (slightly modified) rules of equational logic, we add:

### Quantitative Equational Logic

To the (slightly modified) rules of equational logic, we add:

$$\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t$$

$$\frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars}$$

$$\frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max}$$

$$\frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon_i} t \quad \varepsilon = \inf_i \varepsilon_i}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ OC}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t} = \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \qquad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon_i} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ OC} \\
\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u} \mathbf{C}_{\ell}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon_{i}} t \quad \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i}}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ OC} \\
\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} \mathbf{C}_{\ell} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} t} \mathbf{C}_{r}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \qquad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon_i} t \quad \varepsilon = \inf_i \varepsilon_i}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ OC} \\
\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} \text{ C}_{\ell} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ C}_{r} \\
\frac{\sigma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} \mathbf{Y} \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(\mathbf{X}) =_{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x')}{\mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^*(s) =_{\varepsilon} \sigma^*(t)} \text{ Sub}$$

To the (slightly modified) rules of equational logic, we add:

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \qquad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} i}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \\
\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u} \text{ C}_{\ell} \qquad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s} \text{ C}_{r} \\
\frac{\sigma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} Y}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x) =_{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x') \\
\frac{\sigma : \kappa \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} Y}{\mathbf{X} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s) =_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{*}(t)} \text{ Sub}$$

 $\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} s}$ 

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t} & \overline{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon} \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} & \text{Vars} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} y} & \text{Max} \\ & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \text{OC} \\ \hline \\
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u} & C_{\ell} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} & C_{\ell} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \mathbf{C}_{r} \\ \hline \\
\overline{\mathbf{\sigma} : X \to T_{\Sigma} Y} & \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t & \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x) =_{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x') \\ \overline{\mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s) =_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{*}(t)} & \text{Sub} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} s} \qquad \mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{0} t$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t} & \overline{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon} \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} & \text{Vars} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} y} & \text{Vars} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} & \text{Max} \\ & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} & \text{OC} \\ \hline \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u} & C_{\ell} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t} & \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s \\ \hline \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} & C_{\ell} & \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} t} & C_{r} \\ \hline \sigma : \overline{\mathbf{X} \to T_{\Sigma} Y} & \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t & \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x) =_{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x') \\ \hline \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s) =_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{*}(t) & \text{Sub} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} s} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{0} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t} & \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \, \text{Vars} & \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t & \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \, \text{Max} \\
& \frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t & \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i}}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \, \text{OC} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t} & \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u \\
\overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} & C_{\ell} & \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t & \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} t} \, C_{r} \\
\hline
\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\sigma} : \mathbf{X} \to T_{\Sigma} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t & \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x) =_{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x') \\
\overline{\mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s) =_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{*}(t)} & \text{Sub}
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} s} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{0} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon + \varepsilon'} u}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\infty} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \quad \frac{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}{\mathbf{X} \vdash x =_{\varepsilon} y} \text{ Vars } \qquad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} t} \text{ Max} \\
\frac{\forall i, \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon_{i}} t \quad \varepsilon = \inf_{i} \varepsilon_{i}}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \text{ OCo} \\
\frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} u}{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} u} C_{\ell} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} s}{\mathbf{X} \vdash u =_{\varepsilon} t} C_{r} \\
\frac{\sigma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma} \mathbf{Y} \quad \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{(\varepsilon)} t \quad \mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma(x) =_{d_{\mathbf{X}}(x,x')} \sigma(x')}{\mathbf{Y} \vdash \sigma^{*}(s) =_{(\varepsilon)} \sigma^{*}(t)} \text{ Sub}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \underline{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t} \\ \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{\varepsilon} s} \end{array} \quad \overline{\mathbf{X} \vdash t =_{0} t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{0} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s = t} \quad \frac{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t}{\mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon'} u} \right)$$

#### Theorem

Quantitative equational logic is sound and complete.

Given a **quantitative algebraic theory** ( $\Sigma$ , E), the free quantitative ( $\Sigma$ , E)-algebra over a metric space **X** is given by

$$(T_{\Sigma}X/\equiv_E, d_E),$$

where  $\equiv_E$  and  $d_E$  are a congruence and metric generated by *E* with quantitative equational logic:

$$\equiv_E = \{(s,t) \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \overline{E}\} \\ d_E([s], [t]) = \inf \{ \varepsilon \in [0, \infty] \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t \in \overline{E} \}.$$

#### Axiomatization of Hausdorff Distance

The Hausdorff lifting takes a metric on *X* to a metric on  $\mathcal{P}X$ :

$$(X,d) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}X,d_{\mathsf{H}})$$
 where  $d_{\mathsf{H}}(S,T) = \max\left\{\max_{x\in S}\min_{y\in T} d(x,y), \max_{y\in T}\min_{x\in S} d(x,y)\right\}$ .

#### Axiomatization of Hausdorff Distance

The Hausdorff lifting takes a metric on *X* to a metric on  $\mathcal{P}X$ :

$$(X,d) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}X,d_{\mathsf{H}}) \text{ where } d_{\mathsf{H}}(S,T) = \max\left\{\max_{x \in S} \min_{y \in T} d(x,y), \max_{y \in T} \min_{x \in S} d(x,y)\right\}.$$

The quantitative  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ -algebra ( $\mathcal{P}X$ ,  $d_{\mathsf{H}}$ ) ( $\oplus$  is union again) is the free algebra over (X, d) in the following theory:

$$x \vdash x \oplus x = x$$
 (idempotent)  

$$x, y \vdash x \oplus y = y \oplus x$$
 (commutative)  

$$x, y, z \vdash x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$$
 (associative)  

$$x =_{\varepsilon} x', y =_{\varepsilon'} y' \vdash x \oplus y =_{\max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}} x' \oplus y'$$
 (Hausdorff)

#### Axiomatization of Hausdorff Distance

The Hausdorff lifting takes a metric on *X* to a metric on  $\mathcal{P}X$ :

$$(X,d) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}X,d_{\mathsf{H}}) \text{ where } d_{\mathsf{H}}(S,T) = \max\left\{\max_{x \in S} \min_{y \in T} d(x,y), \max_{y \in T} \min_{x \in S} d(x,y)\right\}.$$

The quantitative  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ -algebra ( $\mathcal{P}X$ ,  $d_{\mathsf{H}}$ ) ( $\oplus$  is union again) is the free algebra over (X, d) in the following theory:

$$x \vdash x \oplus x = x$$
(idempotent)  

$$x, y \vdash x \oplus y = y \oplus x$$
(commutative)  

$$x, y, z \vdash x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$$
(associative)  

$$x =_{\varepsilon} x', y =_{\varepsilon'} y' \vdash x \oplus y =_{\max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}} x' \oplus y'$$
(Hausdorff)

In all these algebras,  $\oplus$  is a nonexpansive operation  $(X, d)^2 \rightarrow (X, d)$ .

After removing that last quantitative equation, the free algebras are given by

$$(X,d) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}X,\widehat{d}) \text{ where } \widehat{d}(S,T) = \begin{cases} 0 & S = T \\ d(x,y) & S = \{x\} \text{ and } T = \{y\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Axiomatization of ŁK Distance

The Łukaszyk–Karmowski lifting takes a dislocated metric on X to a dislocated metric on  $\mathcal{D}X$  (the set of finitely supported distributions on X):

$$(X,d) \mapsto (\mathcal{D}X, d_{\mathrm{LK}})$$
 where  $d_{\mathrm{LK}}(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{x, x' \in X} \varphi(x) \psi(x') d(x, x').$ 

#### Axiomatization of ŁK Distance

The Łukaszyk–Karmowski lifting takes a dislocated metric on X to a dislocated metric on  $\mathcal{D}X$  (the set of finitely supported distributions on X):

$$(X, d) \mapsto (\mathcal{D}X, d_{\mathrm{LK}})$$
 where  $d_{\mathrm{LK}}(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{x, x' \in X} \varphi(x) \psi(x') d(x, x').$ 

The quantitative  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ -algebra ( $\mathcal{D}X$ ,  $d_{kK}$ ) ( $+_p$  is convex combination) is the free algebra over *X* in the following theory:

$$\begin{aligned} x \vdash x +_p x &= x & \text{(idempotent)} \\ x, y \vdash x +_p y &= y +_{1-p} x & \text{(skew comm.)} \\ x, y, z \vdash (x +_q y) +_p z &= x +_{pq} \left( y +_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-pq}} z \right) & \text{(skew assoc.)} \\ x &=_{\varepsilon_1} y, x =_{\varepsilon_2} z \vdash x =_{p\varepsilon_1 + (1-p)\varepsilon_2} y +_p z & \text{(ŁK)} \end{aligned}$$

Universal Algebra

Universal Quantitative Algebra

Monad Presentations

Conclusion

#### Theorem

There is a correspondence between algebraic theories (a signature  $\Sigma$  and axioms E) and finitary monads  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ : **Set**  $\rightarrow$  **Set** such that  $\Sigma$ -algebras satisfying E correspond to  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebras.

#### Theorem

There is a correspondence between algebraic theories (a signature  $\Sigma$  and axioms E) and finitary monads  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ : **Set**  $\rightarrow$  **Set** such that  $\Sigma$ -algebras satisfying E correspond to  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebras.

#### Examples

A semilattice is an algebra for the non-empty finite powerset monad
 *P* : Set → Set.

#### Theorem

There is a correspondence between algebraic theories (a signature  $\Sigma$  and axioms E) and finitary monads  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ : **Set**  $\rightarrow$  **Set** such that  $\Sigma$ -algebras satisfying E correspond to  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebras.

#### Examples

- A semilattice is an algebra for the non-empty finite powerset monad
   *P* : Set → Set.
- A convex algebra is an algebra for the finitely supported distribution monad
   *D* : Set → Set.

#### Theorem

There is a correspondence between algebraic theories (a signature  $\Sigma$  and axioms E) and finitary monads  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ : **Set**  $\rightarrow$  **Set** such that  $\Sigma$ -algebras satisfying E correspond to  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebras.

#### Examples

- A semilattice is an algebra for the non-empty finite powerset monad
   *P* : Set → Set.
- A convex algebra is an algebra for the finitely supported distribution monad
   *D* : Set → Set.
- A pointed set is an algebra for the maybe monad + 1: Set  $\rightarrow$  Set.

Given an algebraic theory  $(\Sigma, E)$ , the free algebra monad  $T_{\Sigma,E}$  is given by

$$X \mapsto T_{\Sigma}X / \equiv_E$$
, where  $\equiv_E = \{(s, t) \mid X \vdash s = t \in \overline{E}\}$ .

A  $\Sigma$ -algebra satisfying *E* is the same thing as a  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebra.

Given an algebraic theory  $(\Sigma, E)$ , the free algebra monad  $T_{\Sigma,E}$  is given by

$$X \mapsto T_{\Sigma}X / \equiv_E$$
, where  $\equiv_E = \{(s, t) \mid X \vdash s = t \in \overline{E}\}$ .

A  $\Sigma$ -algebra satisfying *E* is the same thing as a  $T_{\Sigma,E}$ -algebra.

#### Definition

A monad *M* on **Set** is **presented** by  $(\Sigma, E)$  if there is a monad isomorphism  $\rho : T_{\Sigma,E} \cong M$ .

Given a quantitative algebraic theory  $(\Sigma, \hat{E})$ , the free quantitative algebra monad  $\hat{T}_{\Sigma,\hat{E}}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{X} \mapsto (T_{\Sigma}X/\equiv_{\widehat{E}}, d_{\widehat{E}}), \text{ where } \qquad \begin{array}{l} \equiv_{\widehat{E}} = \{(s,t) \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t\} \\ d_{\widehat{E}}([s], [t]) = \inf \{\varepsilon \in [0,\infty] \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t\}. \end{array}$$

A quantitative  $\Sigma$ -algebra satisfying  $\widehat{E}$  is the same thing as a  $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}}$ -algebra.

Given a quantitative algebraic theory  $(\Sigma, \hat{E})$ , the free quantitative algebra monad  $\hat{T}_{\Sigma,\hat{E}}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{X} \mapsto (T_{\Sigma}X/\equiv_{\widehat{E}}, d_{\widehat{E}}), \text{ where } \qquad \begin{array}{c} \equiv_{\widehat{E}} = \{(s,t) \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t\} \\ d_{\widehat{E}}([s], [t]) = \inf \{\varepsilon \in [0,\infty] \mid \mathbf{X} \vdash s =_{\varepsilon} t\}. \end{array}$$

A quantitative  $\Sigma$ -algebra satisfying  $\widehat{E}$  is the same thing as a  $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}}$ -algebra.

#### Definition

A monad  $\widehat{M}$  on **Met** is **presented** by  $(\Sigma, \widehat{E})$  if there is a monad isomorphism  $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}} \cong \widehat{M}$ .

# Lifting Presentations

Let  $(M, \eta, \mu)$  be a monad on **Set**, and  $(\Sigma, E)$  be an algebraic presentation for it via  $\rho : T_{\Sigma,E} \cong M$ .

#### Definitions

A **monad lifting** of *M* to **Met** is a monad  $\widehat{M}$  : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Met** whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of *M* after applying U : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Set**.

# Lifting Presentations

Let  $(M, \eta, \mu)$  be a monad on **Set**, and  $(\Sigma, E)$  be an algebraic presentation for it via  $\rho : T_{\Sigma,E} \cong M$ .

#### Definitions

A **monad lifting** of *M* to **Met** is a monad  $\widehat{M}$  : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Met** whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of *M* after applying U : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Set**. A **quantitative extension** of *E* is a quantitative algebraic theory  $\widehat{E}$  on the same signature  $\Sigma$  satisfying for all  $X \in$  **Met** and  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ ,

$$X \vdash s = t \in E \iff \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \widehat{E}.$$

# Lifting Presentations

Let  $(M, \eta, \mu)$  be a monad on **Set**, and  $(\Sigma, E)$  be an algebraic presentation for it via  $\rho : T_{\Sigma,E} \cong M$ .

#### Definitions

A **monad lifting** of *M* to **Met** is a monad  $\widehat{M}$  : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Met** whose functor, unit and multiplication coincide with those of *M* after applying U : **Met**  $\rightarrow$  **Set**. A **quantitative extension** of *E* is a quantitative algebraic theory  $\widehat{E}$  on the same signature  $\Sigma$  satisfying for all  $X \in$  **Met** and  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ ,

$$X \vdash s = t \in E \iff \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \widehat{E}.$$

#### Theorem

There is a correspondence between monad liftings of M and quantitative extensions of E.

# Extension to Lifting (Easy)

#### ► The equivalence

$$X \vdash s = t \in E \iff \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \widehat{E}$$

really says that  $\equiv_E \equiv_{\widehat{E}'}$ , so the functors  $T_{\Sigma,E}$  and  $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}}$  agree on sets.

# Extension to Lifting (Easy)

The equivalence

$$X \vdash s = t \in E \iff \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \widehat{E}$$

really says that  $\equiv_E \equiv \equiv_{\widehat{E}}$ , so the functors  $T_{\Sigma,E}$  and  $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}}$  agree on sets.

It follows from the syntactic definitions that the units and multiplications also coincide, hence T
<sub>Σ,Ê</sub> is a monad lifting of T<sub>Σ,E</sub>.

# Extension to Lifting (Easy)

#### The equivalence

$$X \vdash s = t \in E \iff \mathbf{X} \vdash s = t \in \widehat{E}$$

really says that  $\equiv_E = \equiv_{\widehat{E}}$ , so the functors  $T_{\Sigma,E}$  and  $\widehat{T}_{\Sigma,\widehat{E}}$  agree on sets.

- It follows from the syntactic definitions that the units and multiplications also coincide, hence T
  <sub>Σ,Ê</sub> is a monad lifting of T<sub>Σ,E</sub>.
- ► Via the isomorphism  $\rho$  :  $T_{\Sigma,E} \cong M$ , we can construct the monad lifting by

$$\widehat{M}(X,d) = (MX,\widehat{d}), \text{ where } \widehat{d}(m,m') = d_{\widehat{E}}(\rho^{-1}m,\rho^{-1}m').$$

### Lifting to Extension

• Put some equations in  $\widehat{E}$ :

For all  $X \vdash s = t \in E$ , add  $\mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s = t$  to  $\widehat{E}$ .

### Lifting to Extension

• Put some equations in  $\widehat{E}$ :

For all 
$$X \vdash s = t \in E$$
, add  $\mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s = t$  to  $\widehat{E}$ .

#### • Put some quantitative equations in $\widehat{E}$ :

For all 
$$(X,d) \in \mathbf{Met}$$
 and  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , add  $(X,d) \vdash s =_{\widehat{d}(\rho[s],\rho[t])} t$  to  $\widehat{E}$ .

### Lifting to Extension

• Put some equations in  $\widehat{E}$ :

For all 
$$X \vdash s = t \in E$$
, add  $\mathbf{X}_{\perp} \vdash s = t$  to  $\widehat{E}$ .

• Put some quantitative equations in  $\widehat{E}$ :

For all 
$$(X, d) \in \mathbf{Met}$$
 and  $s, t \in T_{\Sigma}X$ , add  $(X, d) \vdash s =_{\widehat{d}(\rho[s], \rho[t])} t$  to  $\widehat{E}$ .

Show that nothing else is entailed by exhibiting M
(X) as the free Σ-algebra satisfying Ê generated by X.

Universal Algebra

Universal Quantitative Algebra

Monad Presentations

Conclusion

- Make the result more categorical in flavor.
- What about infinitary theories?
- What about composing monads?
- Further simplify the entry point to quantitative algebraic reasoning.

# Merci!